

**EXHIBIT 21.** Medicaid Spending by State, Eligibility Group, and Dually Eligible Status, FY 2013 (millions)

State	Total	Basis of eligibility <sup>1</sup>				Dually eligible status <sup>2</sup>					
		Child	Adult	Disabled	Aged	All dually eligible enrollees		Dually eligible with full benefits		Dually eligible with limited benefits	
						Total	Age 65+	Total	Age 65+	Total	Age 65+
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$401,238</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>15.5%</b>	<b>42.4%</b>	<b>23.1%</b>	<b>\$143,337</b>	<b>60.5%</b>	<b>\$137,292</b>	<b>60.8%</b>	<b>\$6,044</b>	<b>53.7%</b>
Alabama	4,568	23.7	9.9	41.9	24.6	1,651	67.1	1,414	69.2	237	54.7
Alaska	1,335	27.4	16.3	36.1	20.1	399	57.2	398	57.2	1	69.3
Arizona	7,586	24.0	28.5	33.7	13.7	1,611	57.3	1,553	57.1	57	62.4
Arkansas	4,141	25.0	4.9	47.4	22.7	1,494	60.9	1,346	63.4	148	38.0
California	57,297	18.1	17.7	40.3	23.9	17,994	67.4	17,525	67.4	469	68.8
Colorado	4,898	21.4	15.0	42.5	21.1	1,585	61.3	1,544	61.7	41	46.5
Connecticut	6,452	15.6	24.0	31.3	29.0	2,985	59.2	2,810	58.8	175	65.1
Delaware	1,552	19.1	31.5	32.0	17.4	465	56.0	431	56.8	34	46.0
District of Columbia	2,232	11.2	20.9	47.9	20.0	610	61.3	609	61.3	1	36.2
Florida	17,232	19.0	14.0	40.9	26.1	6,706	63.0	5,867	64.4	839	53.2
Georgia	8,530	24.1	13.0	41.4	21.5	2,634	67.2	2,372	68.9	262	51.8
Hawaii	1,524	14.1	22.0	35.3	28.6	578	71.8	568	72.0	10	62.8
Idaho	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>
Illinois	15,211	24.1	17.6	38.0	20.4	4,725	57.8	4,637	58.0	88	49.5
Indiana	7,630	16.8	12.4	46.0	24.9	3,145	57.8	2,947	59.3	198	35.7
Iowa	3,649	17.3	10.6	49.3	22.7	1,682	48.9	1,643	48.7	39	56.6
Kansas	2,441	22.9	7.8	46.6	22.8	945	55.5	893	56.6	52	37.4
Kentucky	5,606	22.9	11.0	47.3	18.8	1,678	60.6	1,517	62.3	161	45.4
Louisiana	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>
Maine	2,850	14.2	16.1	44.8	24.8	1,264	55.3	1,149	54.0	115	67.5
Maryland	7,647	19.2	20.3	41.0	19.5	2,323	59.4	2,188	60.1	135	49.0
Massachusetts	12,338	12.1	13.8	47.0	27.2	5,463	57.6	5,421	57.3	42	94.9
Michigan	11,998	18.6	16.1	45.8	19.5	3,804	58.8	3,699	59.1	105	48.0
Minnesota	8,873	15.9	22.3	41.6	20.2	3,428	50.1	3,400	50.1	27	51.0
Mississippi	4,518	20.3	9.9	45.5	24.4	1,711	64.0	1,504	66.7	207	44.2
Missouri	8,248	23.6	9.2	49.3	17.9	2,695	49.7	2,637	49.8	58	46.6
Montana	989	25.2	10.7	39.0	25.1	387	64.0	363	65.1	24	47.1
Nebraska	1,788	18.6	10.6	46.2	24.6	787	51.3	778	51.3	9	52.5
Nevada	1,742	29.6	12.9	42.7	14.8	384	60.3	329	62.1	54	50.0
New Hampshire	1,162	23.5	6.1	38.0	32.4	607	59.0	585	59.7	22	40.5
New Jersey	9,266	16.2	8.3	46.3	29.3	4,472	57.0	4,429	56.9	43	66.1



## EXHIBIT 21. (continued)

State	Total	Basis of eligibility <sup>1</sup>				Dually eligible status <sup>2</sup>					
						All dually eligible enrollees		Dually eligible with full benefits		Dually eligible with limited benefits	
		Child	Adult	Disabled	Aged	Total	Age 65+	Total	Age 65+	Total	Age 65+
New Mexico	\$3,270	39.4%	25.3%	31.7%	3.5%	\$350	31.1%	\$300	27.2%	\$49	54.7%
New York	50,354	10.6	21.6	38.9	28.9	21,470	63.3	21,169	63.2	301	70.7
North Carolina	11,298	23.1	13.6	45.6	17.8	3,499	56.7	3,361	57.1	138	47.5
North Dakota	783	16.7	8.4	43.2	31.6	429	56.9	424	57.0	5	46.1
Ohio	16,154	15.0	17.1	44.8	23.0	5,899	56.9	5,627	57.8	272	38.1
Oklahoma	4,754	28.8	15.6	38.9	16.7	1,380	53.7	1,348	53.8	33	51.3
Oregon	4,782	16.9	22.7	37.8	22.6	1,637	63.8	1,551	64.9	86	44.3
Pennsylvania	20,245	16.1	6.7	52.9	24.3	7,719	61.5	7,588	61.6	131	54.8
Rhode Island	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>	<sup>3</sup>
South Carolina	4,449	23.0	15.8	41.4	19.7	1,500	58.5	1,470	58.5	29	56.0
South Dakota	765	23.4	11.7	44.2	20.8	284	54.9	265	55.6	20	44.9
Tennessee	7,617	23.2	14.4	39.5	22.9	2,885	59.1	2,684	60.1	201	45.2
Texas	24,417	30.2	6.9	43.4	19.6	7,330	63.5	6,596	63.6	733	62.6
Utah	2,101	28.8	17.1	43.8	10.2	559	36.8	551	36.7	8	40.3
Vermont	1,431	<sup>4</sup>	<sup>4</sup>	<sup>4</sup>	<sup>4</sup>	<sup>4</sup>	<sup>4</sup>	<sup>4</sup>	<sup>4</sup>	<sup>4</sup>	<sup>4</sup>
Virginia	7,105	21.1	11.4	45.7	21.8	2,575	54.4	2,446	55.0	129	41.7
Washington	7,805	22.2	15.0	44.0	18.8	2,338	61.2	2,215	62.2	123	41.8
West Virginia	2,949	16.8	9.6	50.1	23.6	1,120	61.1	1,054	62.1	66	46.4
Wisconsin	7,105	11.9	15.7	43.7	28.8	3,522	56.3	3,484	56.3	39	57.6
Wyoming	554	20.4	8.8	45.3	25.6	277	50.7	257	51.3	19	41.4

**Notes:** FY is fiscal year. Includes federal and state funds. Excludes spending for administration, the territories, and Medicaid-expansion CHIP enrollees. Benefit spending from Medicaid Statistical Information System (MSIS) data has been adjusted to reflect CMS-64 totals. Due to changes in both methods and data, figures shown here are not directly comparable to earlier years. With regard to methods, spending totals now exclude disproportionate share hospital (DSH) and certain incentive and uncompensated care pool payments made under Section 1115 waiver expenditure authority, which were previously included prior to the December 2015 data book. See <https://www.macpac.gov/macstats/data-sources-and-methods/> for additional information.

<sup>1</sup> Children and adults under age 65 who qualify for Medicaid on the basis of disability are included in the disabled category. About 746,000 enrollees age 65 and older are identified in the data as disabled; given that disability is not an eligibility pathway for individuals age 65 and older, MACPAC recodes these enrollees as aged.

<sup>2</sup> Dually eligible enrollees are covered by both Medicaid and Medicare; those with limited benefits receive only Medicaid assistance with Medicare premiums and cost sharing.

<sup>3</sup> States were excluded due to data reliability concerns regarding completeness of monthly claims and enrollment data.

<sup>4</sup> Due to large differences in the way spending is reported by Vermont in CMS-64 and MSIS data, MACPAC's adjustment methodology is applied only to total Medicaid spending.

**Source:** MACPAC, 2016, analysis of MSIS data as of December 2015 and analysis of CMS-64 Financial Management Report net expenditure data as of June 2016.