

Medicaid Spending by State, Eligibility Group, and Dually Eligible Status, FY 2012 (millions)

State	Total	Basis of eligibility ¹				Dually eligible status ²					
						All dually eligible enrollees		Dually eligible with full benefits		Dually eligible with limited benefits	
		Child	Adult	Disabled	Aged	Total	Age 65+	Total	Age 65+	Total	Age 65+
Total	\$389,456	18.6%	15.3%	42.8%	23.3%	\$144,690	59.1%	\$138,174	59.4%	\$6,515	53.1%
Alabama	\$4,569	23.8%	10.4%	41.0%	24.8%	\$1,643	67.6%	\$1,419	69.6%	\$224	54.7%
Alaska	\$1,331	26.9%	16.0%	38.2%	19.0%	\$392	54.7%	\$391	54.7%	\$1	70.6%
Arizona	\$7,516	22.7%	32.1%	31.6%	13.6%	\$1,590	57.4%	\$1,530	57.1%	\$60	63.6%
Arkansas	\$4,093	24.7%	5.3%	46.8%	23.3%	\$1,486	62.0%	\$1,344	64.5%	\$142	38.1%
California	\$45,504	17.0%	16.4%	39.8%	26.8%	\$16,181	68.2%	\$15,684	68.2%	\$497	68.4%
Colorado	\$4,534	21.2%	14.8%	42.3%	21.7%	\$1,510	61.4%	\$1,472	61.8%	\$39	45.0%
Connecticut	\$6,281	16.8%	23.3%	31.5%	28.4%	\$2,863	59.0%	\$2,710	58.7%	\$153	64.2%
Delaware	\$1,472	19.7%	32.8%	32.1%	15.4%	\$395	55.3%	\$363	56.1%	\$32	46.6%
District of Columbia	\$2,050	11.3%	19.8%	48.3%	20.6%	\$548	62.2%	\$547	62.3%	\$2	27.4%
Florida	\$16,602	18.3%	13.4%	41.3%	27.0%	\$6,584	64.1%	\$5,833	65.5%	\$751	53.4%
Georgia	\$8,110	23.6%	11.7%	43.5%	21.3%	\$2,562	65.7%	\$2,262	67.7%	\$300	50.1%
Hawaii	\$1,465	15.0%	26.6%	31.3%	27.1%	\$534	71.7%	\$524	71.9%	\$10	62.4%
Idaho	\$1,428	19.0%	11.5%	50.0%	19.5%	\$532	50.1%	\$504	50.6%	\$27	41.2%
Illinois	\$12,949	23.0%	16.9%	40.2%	19.9%	\$4,062	55.6%	\$3,988	55.7%	\$74	48.8%
Indiana	\$7,487	17.8%	13.6%	45.4%	23.2%	\$2,939	56.5%	\$2,719	58.2%	\$220	35.1%
Iowa	\$3,439	17.2%	11.4%	48.4%	23.0%	\$1,550	50.7%	\$1,513	50.6%	\$37	56.8%
Kansas	\$2,593	22.3%	8.8%	43.5%	25.3%	\$1,030	61.4%	\$988	62.3%	\$42	39.2%
Kentucky	\$5,493	23.4%	11.5%	46.9%	18.2%	\$3,413	29.0%	\$3,295	28.5%	\$118	43.9%
Louisiana	\$6,625	19.7%	11.9%	50.2%	18.2%	\$2,092	56.1%	\$1,925	56.3%	\$167	53.0%
Maine	\$2,372	12.3%	12.6%	47.1%	28.0%	\$1,197	54.6%	\$1,093	53.1%	\$104	70.2%
Maryland	\$7,650	19.1%	19.4%	42.0%	19.5%	\$2,299	58.8%	\$2,173	59.4%	\$126	48.9%
Massachusetts	\$11,994	12.3%	13.3%	47.4%	26.9%	\$5,353	56.7%	\$5,312	56.4%	\$41	95.8%
Michigan	\$12,184	19.2%	15.6%	45.9%	19.3%	\$3,727	58.8%	\$3,526	58.4%	\$201	65.0%
Minnesota	\$8,846	16.0%	22.8%	40.8%	20.5%	\$3,458	50.4%	\$3,430	50.4%	\$28	50.6%

State	Total	Basis of eligibility ¹				Dually eligible status ²					
						All dually eligible enrollees		Dually eligible with full benefits		Dually eligible with limited benefits	
		Child	Adult	Disabled	Aged	Total	Age 65+	Total	Age 65+	Total	Age 65+
Mississippi	\$4,255	20.7%	10.8%	43.7%	24.8%	\$1,617	64.9%	\$1,421	67.6%	\$196	45.3%
Missouri	\$7,971	22.3%	9.0%	49.4%	19.3%	\$2,728	51.7%	\$2,703	51.8%	\$25	49.1%
Montana	\$955	24.4%	11.2%	39.1%	25.2%	\$376	64.2%	\$354	65.2%	\$21	48.8%
Nebraska	\$1,680	18.5%	11.2%	45.5%	24.7%	\$731	52.3%	\$722	52.4%	\$10	48.8%
Nevada	\$1,653	28.1%	12.7%	43.6%	15.6%	\$394	59.9%	\$337	61.9%	\$57	48.0%
New Hampshire	\$1,145	22.6%	6.4%	39.9%	31.1%	\$592	57.5%	\$567	57.8%	\$24	48.9%
New Jersey	\$9,146	16.0%	8.3%	46.3%	29.4%	\$4,440	56.9%	\$4,397	56.8%	\$43	67.3%
New Mexico	\$3,374	39.3%	26.4%	31.0%	3.3%	\$346	30.1%	\$297	25.8%	\$50	55.8%
New York	\$49,668	10.4%	20.4%	40.5%	28.8%	\$21,658	62.1%	\$21,370	62.0%	\$288	71.4%
North Carolina	\$11,972	23.6%	15.0%	44.6%	16.8%	\$3,480	57.3%	\$3,340	57.7%	\$140	47.1%
North Dakota	\$743	16.5%	8.8%	43.1%	31.5%	\$412	56.1%	\$407	56.2%	\$5	45.9%
Ohio	\$15,808	15.6%	17.5%	43.2%	23.7%	\$5,953	57.0%	\$5,651	57.7%	\$302	42.3%
Oklahoma	\$4,606	28.9%	15.5%	39.5%	16.1%	\$1,306	53.1%	\$1,274	53.2%	\$32	50.9%
Oregon	\$4,518	17.1%	23.5%	37.6%	21.8%	\$1,518	63.0%	\$1,438	63.9%	\$80	46.6%
Pennsylvania	\$19,232	16.5%	7.1%	52.8%	23.6%	\$7,147	61.1%	\$7,023	61.2%	\$124	55.6%
Rhode Island	\$1,727	17.0%	14.6%	44.6%	23.8%	\$728	54.3%	\$719	54.3%	\$10	49.1%
South Carolina	\$4,391	20.6%	16.5%	42.0%	20.9%	\$1,547	59.4%	\$1,519	59.5%	\$28	55.1%
South Dakota	\$749	23.9%	11.7%	43.1%	21.3%	\$279	56.2%	\$262	56.7%	\$18	48.2%
Tennessee	\$7,520	23.4%	16.3%	40.0%	20.4%	\$2,667	56.3%	\$2,458	57.6%	\$209	42.0%
Texas	\$24,375	32.7%	7.5%	40.4%	19.5%	\$7,226	64.1%	\$6,480	64.3%	\$746	62.6%
Utah	\$1,870	28.0%	17.3%	44.4%	10.3%	\$477	39.1%	\$469	39.1%	\$8	37.8%
Vermont	\$1,311	³	³	³	³	³	³	³	³	³	³
Virginia	\$6,692	21.0%	11.1%	46.1%	21.8%	\$2,422	54.7%	\$2,301	55.4%	\$121	41.4%
Washington	\$7,168	23.2%	14.6%	42.5%	19.8%	\$2,247	61.4%	\$2,135	62.4%	\$113	41.4%
West Virginia	\$2,714	15.8%	8.9%	49.8%	25.5%	\$1,097	62.2%	\$1,033	63.1%	\$64	46.6%
Wisconsin	\$7,096	11.6%	16.5%	42.6%	29.3%	\$3,521	57.8%	\$3,487	57.9%	\$34	55.4%
Wyoming	\$528	19.6%	9.0%	44.9%	26.6%	\$264	52.9%	\$247	53.6%	\$17	42.1%

State	Total	Basis of eligibility ¹				Dually eligible status ²					
						All dually eligible enrollees		Dually eligible with full benefits		Dually eligible with limited benefits	
		Child	Adult	Disabled	Aged	Total	Age 65+	Total	Age 65+	Total	Age 65+

Notes: FY is fiscal year. Includes federal and state funds. Excludes administrative spending, the territories, and Medicaid-expansion CHIP enrollees. Benefit spending from Medicaid Statistical Information System (MSIS) data has been adjusted to reflect CMS-64 totals. Due to changes in both methods and data, figures shown here are not directly comparable to earlier years. With regard to methods, spending totals exclude disproportionate share hospital (DSH) payments and certain incentive and uncompensated care pool payments made under Section 1115 waiver expenditure authority. Due to the unavailability of several states' MSIS Annual Person Summary (APS) data for fiscal year FY 2012, MACPAC calculated enrollment from the full MSIS data files that are used to create the APS files. See <https://www.macpac.gov/macstats/data-sources-and-methods/> for additional information.

Table originally posted online August 14, 2015.

¹ Children and adults under age 65 who qualify for Medicaid on the basis of a disability are included in the disabled category. About 737,000 enrollees age 65 and older are identified in the data as disabled; given that disability is not an eligibility pathway for individuals age 65 and older, MACPAC recodes these enrollees as aged.

² Dually eligible enrollees are covered by both Medicaid and Medicare; those with limited benefits only receive Medicaid assistance with Medicare premiums and cost sharing.

³ Due to large differences in the way spending is reported by Vermont in CMS-64 and MSIS data, MACPAC's adjustment methodology is only applied to total Medicaid spending.

Source: MACPAC, 2015, analysis of MSIS data as of December 2014 and CMS-64 Financial Management Report (FMR) net expenditure data as of June 2015.