

Proposed Rule Affecting Safe Harbors for Prescription Drug Rebates

Medicaid and CHIP Payment and Access Commission

Chris Park



Overview

- Background
 - Anti-kickback statute and discount safe harbor
 - Medicaid and Medicare drug rebates and coverage
- Summary of proposed rule
- Summary of actuarial analyses
- Potential areas for comment
 - Comments due April 8, 2019

Anti-kickback Statute and Discount Safe Harbor

- Anti-kickback statute prohibits transactions designed to induce or reward referrals for items and services covered by federal health care programs
- Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Office of Inspector General (OIG) created discount safe harbors for certain commercial transactions that offer discounts or reductions in price
- Prescription drug rebates qualify as discounts protected under the discount safe harbor

Medicaid Drug Rebates

- Medicaid Drug Rebate Program statutory rebates
 - Basic rebate (e.g., 23.1 percent of average manufacturer price (AMP) for brand drugs)
 - Additional rebate if price increases faster than inflation
- State supplemental rebates
- Managed care organization (MCO) negotiated rebates
- States and MCOs may contract with pharmacy benefits manager (PBM) to negotiate rebates

Medicare Drug Coverage

- Part D plans negotiate rebates (may use PBMs)
- Beneficiary cost sharing in deductible phase or under coinsurance is determined on list price and not net price (i.e., after rebate)
- HHS concern that current rebate structure creates incentives to raise list prices and shift a greater share of expenses to the beneficiary
- HHS wants to change the rebate structure so that a beneficiary's cost sharing is based on the discounted price

Summary of Proposed Rule

Eliminate Drug Rebate Safe Harbor

- Eliminate protection for manufacturer rebates on prescription drugs for Medicare Part D plans and Medicaid MCOs, including PBMs acting under contract with these plans
- Does not apply to rebates to other payers (e.g., commercial)
- Does not apply to rebates required under law (e.g., Medicaid Drug Rebate Program)
- HHS does not believe state supplemental rebates are affected
- Would go into effect January 1, 2020

Create New Safe Harbor for Point-of-Sale Discounts

- Safe harbor protection for manufacturer discounts at the point of sale (POS) under certain conditions:
 - Have to be fixed and disclosed in writing in advance
 - Could not involve a rebate unless the full value of the reduction in price is provided to the dispensing pharmacy through what is known as a chargeback
 - Must be completely reflected in the price the pharmacy charges the beneficiary
- Would go into effect 60 days after publication of final rule

Create New Safe Harbor for Flat- fee PBM Services

- Safe harbor protection for manufacturer payments to PBMs for services that a PBM provides to the manufacturer
- Requires a written agreement that covers all of the services the PBM provides to the manufacturer and the compensation for those services
- Payment must:
 - Be consistent with a fair-market value
 - Be a fixed payment not based on a percentage of sales
 - Not take into account the volume or value of any referrals between the manufacturer and the PBM's Medicare or Medicaid plans

Summary of Actuarial Analyses

Actuarial Analyses

- HHS commissioned actuarial analyses from three sources
 - CMS Office of the Actuary (OACT)
 - Milliman
 - Wakely Consulting Group
- Primarily focused on Medicare Part D
- Only OACT estimated the effect on Medicaid spending

Manufacturer Response

- Manufacturers could convert some or all of their existing rebates to POS discounts or lower list prices
- Manufacturers may seek to recoup some of the price concessions they have previously given
 - POS discounts will not drive market share to the same degree
 - Lower list prices would be applicable to all payers
- Uncertainty in manufacturer response leads to wide range of effects, both in magnitude and direction

Eliminating Medicaid MCO Rebates

- Shift from plan rebates to POS discounts is not particularly relevant to Medicaid as beneficiary cost sharing is nominal
- Capitation rates would increase as the MCO's net drug costs increase
- State could offset capitation rate increases by:
 - Including the managed care enrollees in their own supplemental rebate negotiations
 - Carving out the prescription drug benefit from managed care contracts

Eliminating Medicare Part D Rebates

- Medicare POS discounts would not affect Medicaid best price
- Some uncertainty on how pharmacy chargebacks would be handled in calculation of AMP
- Lower list prices could:
 - Decrease Medicaid gross drug spending by decreasing payments to pharmacies
 - Decrease statutory rebates due to lower AMPs, particularly reductions in the inflationary component of rebates
- Decrease in statutory rebates may exceed the decrease in pharmacy payments, leading to increase in net Medicaid drug spending

Illustrative Example of Effect on Medicaid Rebates

Category	Baseline	15 percent lower list price
Baseline AMP	1.00	1.00
Current AMP	1.47	1.25
Baseline CPI-U	151.60	151.60
Current CPI-U	175.00	175.00
Basic rebate	0.34	0.29
Inflationary rebate	0.31	0.09
Total rebate	0.65	0.38
Net cost	0.81	0.87

Source: Milliman. 2019. *Impact of potential changes to the treatment of manufacturer rebates*. Brookfield, WI: Milliman.

Estimated Change in Medicaid Spending, CY 2020-2029

Type of spending	CY 2020-2029 change in spending (\$ billions)
Reduced rebates	\$18.5
MCO capitation payments	1.3
Price reductions	-18.0
Net federal impact	1.7
State impact	0.2

Source: CMS OACT. 2018. Proposed safe harbor regulation. Baltimore, MD: OACT.

Potential Areas for Comment

- Actuarial analyses show great uncertainty on the effects of the proposed rule, and OACT estimated an increase in Medicaid spending
- HHS is soliciting comments on the extent, if any, to which supplemental rebates may be affected
- HHS has stated they may issue separate guidance to clarify the calculation of AMP and best price



Proposed Rule Affecting Safe Harbors for Prescription Drug Rebates

Medicaid and CHIP Payment and Access Commission

Chris Park

